1 2 3 4	RICHARD P. POINTER, ESQ., SBN: 86630 HINKLE, JACHIMOWICZ, POINTER & EMANUEL 2007 W. Hedding Street, Suite 100 San Jose, Ca 95128 Telephone: (408) 246-5500 Facsimile: (408) 246-1051 E-mail: rpointer@hinklelaw.com	
5		
6	Attorneys for Defendant HAI MANH HOANG	
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
13	STATUS SEE BIT I BIOTT	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) NO: 10-00731 LHK	
15	Plaintiff, ) STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER CONTINUING CHANGE OF	
16	vs. ) PLEA AND DOCUMENTING EXCLUSION OF TIME	
17	HAI MANH HOANG, et al.,	
18	Defendant.	
19		
20	Defendant, Hai Manh Hoang, through counsel Richard P. Pointer and the United State	
21	through Michelle J. Kane hereby stipulate to the continuance of the status hearing from June 20	
22	2012, to July 25, 2012 at 9:00 a.m.	
23	It is agreed between the parties that the following reasons exist for this stipulation:	
24	(1) Defendant remains mentally unstable at this time. Defense counsel believes that	
25	additional time is needed to allow his medication to work wherein he understands plea agreement	
26	The government and defense stipulate that the factors stated above provide a basis for ar	
27	exclusion of time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act (18 U.S.C. Section 3161 (h)(7)(B)(iv) in that the	
28		
	Stipulation and (Proposed Order) for Continuance of Change of Plea 1	

## Case 5:10-cr-00731-LHK Document 145 Filed 06/19/12 Page 2 of 3

ated: June 19, 2012 ated: June 19, 2012	/s/ Richard P. Pointer Richard P. Pointer Richard P. Pointer Attorney for Hai Manh Hoang  /s/ Michelle J. Kane Michelle J. Kane Assistant United States Attorney
	Attorney for Hai Manh Hoang  /s/ Michelle J. Kane  Michelle J. Kane
ated: June 19, 2012	
ated: June 19, 2012	/s/ Michelle J. Kane Michelle J. Kane Assistant United States Attorney
	Michelle J. Kane Assistant United States Attorney
	1 10010 001110 0 11100 0 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000 111000 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 1100000 1100000 1100000 1100000 1100000 1100000 1100000 11000000
	pulation and ( <del>Proposed</del> Order) for ontinuance of Change of Plea

1 2	RICHARD P. POINTER, ESQ., SBN: 86630 HINKLE, JACHIMOWICZ, POINTER & EMANUEL 2007 W. Hedding Street, Suite 100 San Jose, Ca 95128	
3	Telephone: (408) 246-5500 Facsimile: (408) 246-1051	
5	Attorneys for Defendant HAI MANH HOANG	
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9 10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) NO: 10-00731 LHK	
11	Plaintiff, ) ORDER OF CONTINUANCE OF	
12	vs. ) STATUS CONFERENCE	
13	HAI MANH HOANG,	
14	Defendant. )	
15	Accordingly, for good cause shown,	
16	The court HEREBY ORDERS that the status appearance scheduled for June 20, 2012 at 9:00	
17	a.m., is continued to July 25, 2012 at 9:00 a.m	
18	THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act from June	
19	20, 2012 to July 25, 2012. The court finds, based on the aforementioned reasons, that the ends of	
20	justice is served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and	
21	the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny defense	
22	counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due	
23	diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. The Court therefore concludes that this	
24	exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. section 3161(h)(7) A and (B)(iv).	
25	SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: June 19, 2012	
27	United States District Judge	
28		